

No. ID/SPT/160-83/51170.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Dev Muni and the management of M/s Sooraj Mull Baijnath Industries, Pvt. Ltd., Industrial, Area, Sonapat, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO (E) Lab-70/13648 dated 8th May, 1970, read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573 dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Dev Muni was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

MEENAXI ANAND CHAUDHRI,

Joint Secretary to Government, Haryana,
Labour Department.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Order

The 12th September, 1983

No. ID/RTK/147-83/46939.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ved Pal and the management of M/s (i) Haryana Urban Development Authority, Chandigarh (ii) S.D.E. Huda Sub-Division No. I, Rohtak regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—*vide* Government notification No. 3864-ASO-(E) Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970 the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ved Pal was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/78-83/46947.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Bhoop Singh and the management of M/s Sud Trac Linkages (P) Ltd., Plot No. 28, Sector 6, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter of dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Bhoop Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/127-83/46954.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Bali Ram Yadav and the management of M/s. (i) Sun-Beam Industries Gurgaon (ii) M/s Sundos Industries Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab-57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3-Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Bali Ram Yadav was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?